

Magnetic Fields & High Mass Star Formation

Robi Banerjee Hamburger Sternwarte

based on work by: **Bastian Körtgen** (HS), Daniel Seifried (Cologne), Thomas Peters (MPA) co-workers: Ralph Pudritz (McMaster, Canada), Enrique Vazquez-Semadeni (UNAM), Wolfram Schmidt (HS)



galactic B-fields (e.g. R.Beck 2001) large scale component: $B \sim 6\mu G$ total field strength: > 10 μG

The ISM is highly magnetised: $E_{mag} \sim E_{therm}$





 M33: B_{pos} ~ 100...500 μG in GMCs from linearly polarised CO emission (Goldreich-Kylafis 1981)

 $\implies sub Alfvenic turbulence:$ $V_{turb} \lesssim V_A$

Hua-bai Li et al. Nature 2015 for NGC 6334 \Longrightarrow

dynamically important fields

- Heiles & Troland 2003: Millennium Arecibo 21 cm survey of the Milky Way
 - ⇒ Magnetic fields in HI clouds (incl. warm neutral media, WNM)





• PLANCK: magnetic field of the Milky Way from dust polarisation

ESA PLANCK: Milky Way's magnetic fingerprint (2015)

HansFest, Edinburgh, September 4, 2018, Robi Banerjee

Magnetic Fields in Molecular Clouds

• PLANCK XXXV 2015: dust polarisation in molecular clouds



 \Rightarrow magnetic fields are dynamically important

 \implies by comparing with num. simulations: $B = 4 \dots 12 \mu G$

Formation of Molecular Clouds





dynamical MC / GMC formation out of the WNM atomic media (e.g. Blitz et al. ,2007, PPV, also Inutsuka's talks)

Magnetic Fields in Molecular Clouds



polarisation measurement of G11.11-0.12 \implies from CF-method strongly magnetised massive IRDCs: > 260 μ G

Magnetic Fields in Molecular Clouds



- stronger magnetic fields in dense regions
 - \implies B gets compressed due to flux-freezing:

 $\Phi = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = \text{const.}$





- spherical compression:
 - $n \propto l^{-3}$ $\rightarrow \Phi \propto l^2 B = \text{const}$
- $\implies B \propto n^{2/3}$

Impact of Magnetic Fields

magnetic flux is frozen into the plasma:

mass-to-flux ratio:

$$\mu \equiv \left(\frac{M}{\Phi}\right) = \text{self-gravity / magnetic energy}$$
$$\implies \mu = \frac{\Sigma}{B} \implies B \propto N$$

critical value for collapse:

 $\mu_{\rm crit} = 0.13/\sqrt{G}$

spherical structure Mouschovias & Spitzer 1976

$$\mu_{\rm crit} = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{G}} \approx 0.16/\sqrt{G}$$

uniform disc Nakano & Nakamura 1978











Impact of Magnetic Fields on MCs

critical mass-to-flux ratio: $\mu_{crit} = 0.13/\sqrt{G}$



 \implies time-scale for colliding flows:

$$t_{\rm crit} \approx 100 \,{\rm Myr} \,\left(\frac{B}{10 \,\mu{\rm G}}\right) \,\left(\frac{n}{1 \,{\rm cm}^{-3}}\right)^{-1} \,\left(\frac{v_{\rm flow}}{10 \,{\rm km} \,\,{\rm sec}^{-1}}\right)^{-1}$$

HansFest, Edinburgh, September 4, 2018, Robi Banerjee

²⁵⁶ pc

112 pc

256 pc

SF from Magnetised Medium

Solutions?

- flux loss by:
 - Ambipolar Diffusion (Mestel & Spitzer 1956, Shu 1987, Mouschovias 1987)
 - \implies old picture: AD-mediated star formation
 - (but, Osterbrock 1961:AD not efficient)
 - Turbulence + AD (e.g. Heitsch et al. 2004, Kudoh & Basu 2008, 2001)
 - Turbulent reconnection (Lazarian & Vishniac 1999)
 - Ohmic resistivity (e.g. Dapp & Basu 2010, Krasnopolsky et al. 2010)



Model parameter:

- $n = 1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$
- $r = 32 \dots 64 \text{ pc}$
 - $\implies M_{\rm inf} = 2.3 \times 10^4 {\rm M}_{\odot}$
 - $\implies N \approx 7 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$
- $v_{inf} = 14 \text{ km/sec}$
- + turbulence:
 - $v_{turb} = 0.2 \dots 12 \text{ km/sec}$
- + ambipolar diffusion
- $B_{\rm x} = 1 \dots 5 \ \mu {\rm G}$
 - $\implies \mu/\mu_{\rm crit} \sim 3 \ (B/1\mu G)^{-1}$

 $\implies t_{\rm crit} \approx 5 \,\,{\rm Myr} \,({\rm B}/1\mu{\rm G})$

influence of magnetic fields

0.00 Myr	0.00 Myr
Boxsize 80.0 pc	Boxsize 80.0 pc
$B = 3\mu G$	$B = 4\mu G$
	•

HansFest, Edinburgh, September 4, 2018, Robi Banerjee

influence of ambipolar diffusion



ideal case $B = 4\mu G$ with ambipolar diffusion

results from head-on colliding flows with different field strengths



B. Körtgen & RB, MNRAS (2015)

results from head-on colliding flows with different field strengths



B. Körtgen & RB, MNRAS (2015)



with constant $\beta = P_{\text{therm}}/P_{\text{mag}} = 0.25$





strongly magnetised case: $\beta = 0.25$

Fest, Edinburgh, September 4, 2018, Robi Banerjee

Parker Instability



bi Banerjee

Parker Instability



⇒ supercritical GMCs from along magnetic field lines

B. Körtgen, et al., 2018

Parker Instability: GMC properies

kpc.



B. Körtgen, et al., 2018

t = 461.70 Mvr $log(\mu/\mu_{crit})$ 0.5 0.0 -0.5

GMCs: x [kpc]

- are super-critical
- Magnetic field-determined MF?
- Initial conditions for high mass star formation

Magnetic fields during Massive Star Formation



e.g. Massive star forming region G5.89-0.39 UHII $B\sim 2-3~{
m mG}$



Magnetic fields during Massive Star Formation

influence of magnetic fields:

magnetically launched outflows around high mass YSOs

Peters et al. 2011





Peters, Klaassen, Seifried, RB, Klessen 2014

Massive Star Formation: Magnetic fields

The magnetised case: Run E



- weakening of fragmentation
- most massive star is more massive compared to hydro-case
- but: Fragmentation Induced Starvation is still 'active'

Conclusions

• Don't ignore magnetic fields !

• Parker Instability: viable mechanism to generate supercritical clouds

- High mass stars:
 - MFs help to generate high mass clouds & clumps
 - MFs reduce fragmentation



Hansi est, Luinburgh, September 4, 2010, Robi banerjee



Please release your foto-data!